

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/442,416	12/01/1999	AKIHIRO ICHIGE	2185-0382P	5804
75	90 01/03/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040			EXAMINER	
			NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773 DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003	/ 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mx-13
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/442,416	ICHIGE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. S. Nakarani	1773
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N	lovember 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* -	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · · · Patent Application (PTO-152)



Art Unit: 1773

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 23, 2002 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For the reasons of record set forth in Paragraph 2 of the Office Action mailed May 29, 2002 (Paper No. 5).
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.



Art Unit: 1773

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meilhon et al. (U.S. Patent 5,356,677) in view of Applicants' own admission (page 2 lines 17-28).

Meilhon et al disclose a shrinkable thermoplastic packaging film having tear strength in machine direction at least twice the tear strength in transverse direction (Abstract). Meilhon et al's multilayer film comprises at least one layer of olefinic polymer such as polyethylene, polypropylene, copolymer of ethylene and propylene, ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer etc and at least one layer of ionic copolymer (col. 3 line 5 to col. 4 line 42). Meilhon et al. also disclose thickness of ionic copolymer 5 to 70% of the total film thickness (col. 4 lines 52-55). Meilhon et al. also suggest adhesive layer between polyolefin layer and the ionic copolymer layer to increase the adhesion between the layers of different natures (col. 5 lines 5-12). Meilhon et al teach ratio of Elmendorf tear strength in machine direction (MD) to Elmendorf tear strength in transverse direction (TD) greater than or equal to 2. Meilhon et al's Examples show ratio MD/TD higher than 3 (see Examples) Meilhon et al. fail to show tear strength in machine direction of not less than about 30 kg/cm, claimed breaking point and claimed dispenser with roll of wrapping film.

Applicants admit that placing packaging film roll in dispenser is known in the packaging art.

Meilhon et al's polymers for making multilayer film are same as instant invention, therefore the film deemed to be self-tacky.

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention made to utilize disclosure of Meilhon et al. to produce a multilayer



Art Unit: 1773

film having desired tear strength in machine direction by varying individual layer forming polymers and stretching ratio and place roll in the known dispenser for

packaging food items.

No claims are allowed.

6. Applicants are requested to note that the process of making a shrinkable thermoplastic packaging film disclosed by Meilhon et al. is different than the process of making a multilayer layer film of instant disclosure. The process disclosed in the instant

disclosure is identical to the process disclosed by Yamanaka et al.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D.S. Nakarani whose telephone number is 703-308-2413. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

D. S. Nakarani/mn January 2, 2003 D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER